

# CATHODOLUMINESCENT SCREEN WITH A COLUMNAR STRUCTURE, AND THE METHOD FOR ITS PREPARATION

**E.I.Givargizov, L.A.Zadorozhnaya, A.N.Stepanova,  
N.P.Soshchin, N.N.Chubun, and M.E.Givargizov**

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the area of electronic materials and to microelectronics, including vacuum microelectronics, in particular to devices based on field emission, such as field-emission displays, vacuum fluorescent displays, cathodeluminescent lamps, etc.

## PRIOR ART

The existing luminescent screens are produced, as a rule, in the shape of crystalline films that are prepared, for example, by deposition from a vapor phase onto smooth, for example, glass substrate.

For the deposition, techniques of evaporation of materials in vacuum, of sublimation, of chemical transport, of cathode sputtering, etc, are used.

In all the techniques, the nucleation of the crystalline luminescent materials (phosphors) occurs in a non-controlling manner, homogeneously or heterogeneously, on a smooth structureless substrate. At that case, the phosphors are usually a collection of tiny (micron and/or submicron) crystalline grains, usually isometric, approximately spherical shape superposed one onto another (Fig. 1). In such a system, the light generated in a crystalline grain (i.e., designated by a cross) is repeatedly scattered in the labyrinth of surrounding phosphor grains. This phenomenon deteriorates the resolution of the screen.

One more problem relates to the fact that in the film screen, consisting of the crystalline grains, do not all the space is filled by the phosphor. This decreases the effectivity of the screen and deteriorates its thermo- and electroconductivity.

In addition, such screens have a bad adhesion to substrates because the approximately-spherical crystalline grains have only point contacts with the substrates.

In the patent [1], single-crystalline (plate-like or epitaxial-layer) materials are used as phosphors. This improves reproducibility of characteristics of the screen and increases its effectivity (the ratio of the light energy to the energy expended for the light excitation). However, at such a case, the emitting light propagates along the plate (or along the epitaxial layer) of the phosphor; this deteriorates the resolution and the effectivity of the screen.

Another patent [2] supposes localized deposition of a phosphor from a diluted solution or suspension by spinning into holes, side walls of the holes being metallized in order to exclude penetration of the light into neighbor areas of the luminescent screen. However, at this case,

contrast of the image is increased for only 50%; in other words, scattering of the light along the luminescent screen is not excluded.

These drawbacks can be eliminated if the luminescent screen is made of columnar crystallites that have elongated shape whose elongation direction is approximately perpendicular to the plane of the screen. Such an idea is realized in the design described in the patent [3]. At such a case the light excited at columnar crystallites of the phosphor propagates in the elongation direction of the crystallites, the crystallites being acting as light-guides. However, the method for preparation of such screens by melt crystallization is not suitable for many practically-important cases, e.g., for thin (0.1 - 1 micrometer thickness) flat luminescent screen used in field-emission displays.

In the patent [4], a screen with columnar crystals has been proposed where an insert of non-luminous black material adjacent to the columnar crystals was placed. Such an insert is able to increase an image contrast of the columns that are directly adjacent to the insert, while other columns that are not adjacent (are not contacted) to the insert are not able to increase their contrast. In addition, patent [4] does not give a method for preparation of such a screen.

In this invention, a more optimized design of the screen is proposed. In addition, a technology for preparation of the screen is proposed.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A screen with columnar structure is proposed where each column is surrounded by a gap coaxial to the column, the gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium. Outer butt-ends of the columns are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer, thickness of the layer being smaller than height of the columns for at least one order of magnitude. The luminescent layer can be epitaxial in respect to the columns.

A method for preparation of the luminescent screens is proposed in this invention, too. The method consists in vapor deposition of the luminescent material where an intermediate substance, that is other than the luminescent material and that forms a liquid phase at the crystallization temperature, is firstly deposited on the substrate. After that, the luminescent material is deposited on such a substrate. Thickness of the intermediate substance is more than 10 nanometers and smaller than 1 micrometer. The liquid phase is formed at a contact interaction of the intermediate substance with the substrate.

The intermediate substance is formed by more than one chemical elements. At least one of the chemical element is operating as an luminescent activator or co-activator. The activator or co-activator is introduced into the luminescent material by means of ion implantation.

A microrelief of inhomogenities in structure and/or chemical composition is created on the substrate, the inhomogenities being of regular character, in particular, of crystallographically-symmetric character.

The luminescent material is coated by a thin layer of a material that is transparent for electrons. In particular, diamond or diamond-like material serve as the transparent material.

#### A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Fig. 1. A scheme of a standard cathodoluminescent screen that is formed by a film of approximately isometric crystalline grain.

Fig. 2. A scheme of a cathodoluminescent screen formed by a film, that consists of columns approximately perpendicular to substrate.

Fig. 3. A scheme of propagation of light beams in the film shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4. A SEM micrograph of a cleavage cross-section of a continuous film consisting of the columns.

Fig. 5. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen with columnar structure that is bombarded by electrons. The shaded upper parts of the columns show level to which the electrons penetrate and where the light is excited.

Fig. 6. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen. The upper butt-ends of the screen are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer.

Fig. 7. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen formed of columns with gaps between them.

Fig. 8. A SEM micrograph of the film that consists of columns with gaps between them (top view). The mosaic structure of the screen is seen.

Fig. 9. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The gaps are filled with an electroconductive non-emitting medium.

#### BEST VERSION FOR THE REALIZATION OF THE INVENTION

The cathodoluminescent screen with columnar structure, as it was proposed at the prior art, is illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3.

The cathodoluminescent screen, as it is proposed here, is illustrated in Figs. 4 to 9.

Typical height of the columns, as it is shown in Fig. 4, is about 5 micrometers. Typical height-to-diameter ratio of the columns ranges from 1:1 to 100:1.

An accelerated electron beam from a flat cathode, as it is usually considered in field-emission displays, is incident on the screen and penetrates into a surface layer (Fig. 5). At typical acceleration voltages of the field-emission displays (for example, 1 to 3 kV) the penetration thickness is about 100 nanometers (shown schematically in Fig. 5 as a shadowed layer). Accordingly, it is proposed to implement the screen as a columnar structure coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer (shown in Fig. 6).

The columns are surrounded by gaps ("trenches") coaxial to the columns. An elongated cross-section scheme of the columnar structure is shown in Fig. 7. A corresponding scanning electron micrograph of the screen (top-view) is shown in Fig. 8. As is seen, the columns are

surrounded by gaps ("trenches"). The gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium has the coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more than 20%. A scheme of the filled screen is shown in Fig. 9. The filling ensures a conductivity of the screen and, in such a way, excludes charging phenomena when the luminescent screen is working in a cathodoluminescent mode.

These screens are featured by some advantages, especially in respect to low-voltage field-emission displays.

1. By a high light and energetic output that is caused by its design. Owing to the total internal reflection from the walls of the columns, a light-guide effect takes place: the light propagates preferentially along the columns, do not passing beyond columns and do not passing into neighbour columns.

2. By a low light scattering during the light propagation along the columns. This determines a high resolution of the design. It is equal to the number of the light-emitting components per a length unit.

3. By a high adhesion to the transparent substrate, to which the columns are fixed by their butt-ends, i.e., the light-emitting components contact to the substrate by a large area. This is especially important for diode-type field-emission displays where large gradients of the electric field are able to break screen particles off the substrate.

The advantages of the cathodoluminescent screens having the columnar structure are realized here by a proposed technology for their production. The technology is based on chemical or physical vapor deposition, a participation of a liquid phase in the deposition process being of principal importance. An effectivity of the technology is illustrated in Fig. 4 where the columnar structure of the luminescent material cadmium sulphide is shown.

It is to underline principal idea of the proposed design of the cathodoluminscent screen: the propagation direction of light in each columnar component is paraxial (parallel) to the direction of the primary electron beam, that excites the light (see Fig. 3), whereas in the known (standard) screens, formed by superposition of approximately-isometric grains, the light excited by the cathodoluminescence can propagate not only paraxially with the electron beam but also perpendicularly to it, or in any arbitrary direction in respect to the electron beam (see Fig. 1).

As the design of the columnar screen was realized and used in concrete electron devices, some not-evident its advantages were found.

(a) Luminescence brightness of different grains (columns in this case) becomes more uniform. In the standard cathodoluminescent screens, the brightness of various grains differs significantly (up to 50% at distances 25-30 micrometers) due to differences in sizes of emitting grains; this deteriorates transfer and fixation of qualitative images.

(b) Electrical and heat power dissipation by the columnar phosphors increases significantly (5 to 10 times) in comparison with the standard cathodoluminescent screens.

(c) The "burning out" of the columnar screens at an unexpected switching off the electron beam scanning is practically eliminated. In the standard cathodoluminescent screens the power sufficient for irreversible burning out of the screens is usually 0.1 W/element (here the element is an image element, i.e., a pixel), whereas preliminary testings of the proposed columnar screen indicate to increase of the parameter up to 1 W/element (here the element is a column).

(d) The background image contrast at an illumination with intensive light sources (sun, electric lamp, etc) is increased. Standard cathodoluminescent screens have the contrast value  $k = b_{image}/b < 5$ , where  $b$  is the brightness of background,  $b_{image}$  is the brightness of the pixel. Testings of the screens based on the proposed columnar phosphors show the values  $k > 10$  to 20.

A significant electric charge accumulated by standard screens is not completely removed even by metallic (for example, aluminium) coatings 0.1 – 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in thickness that are usually formed on the surface of the standard cathodoluminescent screens. This manifests itself in numerous discharges that disturb a stable work of electron devices. The columns are surrounded by gaps coaxial to the columns (see Figs. 7 to 9). The remainder of the substrate area and all other volume of the screen are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium that has the coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more than 20 %.

It is to note that the above-mentioned advantages of the columnar screens manifest themselves both in experimental (10x10 mm) and consumer (25x25 or 75x75 mm) sizes of the screens. In other words, the unique parameters of the proposed structure do not depend on the sizes.

Changes of cross-sectional sizes of the light-emitting elements have been studied in respect to characteristics of the screens in general. At the cross-sectional size of the light-emitting elements about 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and the pitch distance about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  a light-emitting structure contained more than  $2.5 \cdot 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-2}$  light-emitting elements has been prepared. The parameters are superior in resolution respectively to all known screens. It has been also found that the columnar structures with pitches 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , at a total number of the columns  $2.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , can have important applications as screens of electron-beam devices and of transducers.

The procedure for filling of the gaps around the columns with the electroconductive non-light-emitting medium consists in a dipping of the columnar structure into a melt of suitable oxides and/or sulphides. Another approach consists in impregnation of columnar structures in low-melting-point compounds. As such, not only oxides like  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  (melting point 450°C),  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  (melting point 670°C),  $\text{CdO}$  (826°C),  $\text{PbO}_2$  (290°C),  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  (817°C), but also sulphides  $\text{SnS}$  (882°C),  $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$  (550°C) were used. In addition, metallic eutectics like  $\text{Cd-Bi-Pb-Sn}$  (melting point 65°C) and  $\text{Pb-Sn}$  were tested, too. All the mentioned compositions absorb the light in the spectral subrange 420 to 760 nanometers, therefore it is possible, in the mosaic columnar

structure, to increase significantly the contrast value owing to an increased absorption of the side emission of the columns and of an external light passing through the transparent substrate.

It was studied an influence of the electroconductive medium on the luminescent properties of the screen formed by the mosaic columnar structure. In the case of the filling of the gaps between the columns by the eutectic metallic phase Cd-Bi-Pb-Sn, the resistivity of the filling phase was 1 to 20 Ohm.cm at the value of the optical absorption  $> 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . At the ratio of the substrate area, coated by the columns, to the area of the filling medium 5:1, the coefficient of light reflection from the front surface of the screen is 20%, while a similar columnar structure, that was not filled by the electroconductive medium, reflects 45 to 60% of incident light.

Relationships between the height of the columns and the height level of the light-absorbing phase were not studied. In some preliminary experiments, the relationship was 2:1. Even such a value provided run-off the electron current densities 1 to 10 A/cm<sup>2</sup>.

The columnar elements of the mosaic screen can have an additional coating by metallic (Al or Ag) mirror transparent for electron beams with energies  $> 5 \text{ keV}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G.W.Berskstresser and C.D.Brandle, Cathode ray tube with single crystal targets, European Patent Application 232586, Cl. H01 J 29/26 (1987).
2. V.Duchenois, M.Fouassier, and H.Baudry, Ecran cathodoluminescent incruste a cavites restaurées et tube de visualisation utilisant un tel ecran, European Patent Application 170310, Cl. H01 J 29/24 (1988).
3. B. Cockayne, Cathode ray tube phosphor layers, European Patent Application 062993, Cl. H01 J 29/20 (1982).
4. M. Kakuki, Fluorescent screen of electron tube, Japanese Patent 55088245, Cl. H01 J 29/20 (1980).

## CLAIMS

1. A cathodoluminescent mosaic screen on a light-transparent substrate that (screen) contains light-emitting, light-guiding, dielectric, and electroconductive light-absorbing components, the light-emitting components being implemented as columnar crystals, **wherein** each column is surrounded by a gap coaxial to the column, the gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium.
2. The screen according to the claim 1 **wherein** outer butt-ends of the columns are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer whose thickness is smaller than height of the columns for at least an order of magnitude.
3. The screen according to the claim 2 **wherein** the luminescent layer is epitaxial in respect to the columns.
4. A method for preparation of luminescent screens consisting of single-crystalline columns on substrates by vapor deposition of luminescent material **wherein** an intermediate substance forming a liquid phase at the crystallization temperature, other than the luminescent material, is firstly deposited on the substrate and, then, the luminescent material is deposited on such a substrate.
5. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** the thickness of the intermediate substance is more than 10 nanometers and smaller than 1 micrometer.
6. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** the liquid phase is formed at a contact interaction of the intermediate substance with the substrate.
7. The method according to any of claims 4 or 5 **wherein** the intermediate substance is formed by more than one chemical elements.
8. The method according to the claim 7 **wherein** at least one of the chemical element is operating as a luminescent activator or co-activator.
9. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** a microrelief of inhomogenities in structure and/or in chemical composition is created on the substrate.
10. The method according to the claim 9 **wherein** the inhomogenities are of a regular character.
11. The method according to the claim 10 **wherein** the inhomogenities have crystallographically-symmetric character.
12. The method according to the claim of any of the claims 4 or 8 **wherein** the activator or co-activator is introduced into the luminescent material by means of ion implantation.

13. The method according to the claim 11 **wherein** the luminescent material is coated by a thin layer of a material transparent for passing through it of electrons.
14. The method according to the claim 13 **wherein** diamond or diamond-like material serve as the transparent material.

### An Abstract

A cathodoluminescent mosaic screen on a light-transparent substrate wherin the light-emitting components of the screen are implemented as light-guiding single-crystalline columns. A method for preparation of the screen by vapor deposition of the luminescent material onto the substrate coated by a localized liquid phase has been proposed.

09/530512




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.

09/530512




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.

09/530512




Fig. 5.




Fig. 6.

09/530512




Fig. 7.




Fig. 8.

09/530512




Fig. 9.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 04 AVR. 2000

Applicant's or agent's file reference	<b>FOR FUTHER ACTION</b>	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No PCT/RU 98/00347	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 October 1998 (26.10.98)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 October 1997 (27.10.97) 31 December 1997(31.12.97)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC		H01J 29/18, H01J 9/22
Applicant GIVARGISOV Evgeny Invievich et al.		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36</p> <p>2. This Report consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70/16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under PCT).</p>		
<p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>9</u> sheets</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</li> <li>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</li> <li>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</li> <li>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</li> <li>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> <li>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</li> <li>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</li> <li>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</li> </ul>		

Date of submission of the demand: 24 May 1999 (24.05.99)	Date of completion of this report: 24 February 2000 (24.02.2000)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA RU Federal Institut of industrial property Russia, 121858,Moscow,Berezhkovskaya nab., 30-1 Facsimile No.	Authorized officer  G.Tarakanova Telephone No 240-2591

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No  
PCT/RU 98/00347

## 1. Basis of the report

### 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:\*

the international application as originally filed

the description:

pages \_\_\_\_\_, as originally filed

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand

pages 1-7 \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of

17 January 2000

the claims:

pages \_\_\_\_\_, as originally filed

pages \_\_\_\_\_, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand

pages 8-9 \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of

17 January 2000

the drawings:

pages \_\_\_\_\_, as originally filed

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of

the sequence listing part of the description:

pages \_\_\_\_\_, as originally filed

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand

pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of

### 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language \_\_\_\_\_ which is:

the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).

the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3 (b)).

the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

### 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in written form.

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form

furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer form.

The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed been furnished.

The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the sequence listing has been furnished.

### 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_

the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

the drawings, sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_

### 5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental BoF (Rule 70.2(c)).\*\*

\* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

\*\* Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No  
PCT/RU 98/00347

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO

**2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)**

Claims 1-3 meet the criteria of novelty and inventive step since the documents cited in search report don't disclose cathodoluminescent mosaic screen with the light-emitting components implemented as columnar crystals, wherein each column is surrounded by a gap coaxial to the column, all the gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium that has a coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more 20%.

Claims 4-14 meet the criteria of novelty and inventive step since the documents cited in search report don't disclose a method for preparation of luminescent screens wherein an intermediate substance forming a liquid phase at the crystallization temperature, other than the luminescent material, is firstly deposited on the substrate and, then, the luminescent material is deposited on such a substrate.

Claims 1-14 meet the criterion of industrial applicability.

09/530512

ART 34 AMDT


CATHODOLUMINESCENT SCREEN WITH A COLUMNAR STRUCTURE,  
AND THE METHOD FOR ITS PREPARATION

E.I.Givargizov, L.A.Zadorozhnaya, A.N.Stepanova,  
N.P.Soshchin, N.N.Chubun, and M.E.Givargizov

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the area of electronic materials and to microelectronics, including vacuum microelectronics, in particular to devices based on field emission, such as field-emission displays, vacuum fluorescent displays, cathodeluminescent lamps, etc.

~~PRIOR ART~~

  
The existing luminescent screens are produced, as a rule, in the shape of crystalline films that are prepared, for example, by deposition from a vapor phase onto smooth, for example, glass substrate.

For the deposition, techniques of evaporation of materials in vacuum, of sublimation, of chemical transport, of cathode sputtering, etc, are used.

In all the techniques, the nucleation of the crystalline luminescent materials (phosphors) occurs in a non-controlling manner, homogeneously or heterogeneously, on a smooth structureless substrate. At that case, the phosphors are usually a collection of tiny (micron and/or submicron) crystalline grains, usually isometric, approximately spherical shape superposed one onto another (Fig. 1). In such a system, the light generated in a crystalline grain (i.e., designated by a cross) is repeatedly scattered in the labyrinth of surrounding phosphor grains. This phenomenon deteriorates the resolution of the screen.

One more problem relates to the fact that in the film screen, consisting of the crystalline grains, do not all the space is filled by the phosphor. This decreases the effectivity of the screen and deteriorates its thermo- and electroconductivity.

In addition, such screens have a bad adhesion to substrates because the approximately-spherical crystalline grains have only point contacts with the substrates.

  
In <sup>EP-A 2 325 86</sup> ~~the patent [1]~~, single-crystalline (plate-like or epitaxial-layer) materials are used as phosphors. This improves reproducibility of characteristics of the screen and increases its effectivity (the ratio of the light energy to the energy expended for the light excitation). However, at such a case, the emitting light propagates along the plate (or along the epitaxial layer) of the phosphor; this deteriorates the resolution and the effectivity of the screen.

  
Another patent <sup>EP-A 170 310</sup> ~~[2]~~ supposes localized deposition of a phosphor from a diluted solution or suspension by spinning into holes, side walls of the holes being metallized in order to exclude penetration of the light into neighbor areas of the luminescent screen. However, at this case,

IPEA/RJ

contrast of the image is increased for only 50%; in other words, scattering of the light along the luminescent screen is not excluded.

These drawbacks can be eliminated if the luminescent screen is made of columnar crystallites that have elongated shape whose elongation direction is approximately perpendicular to the plane of the screen. Such an idea is realized in the design described in the patent [3]. At such a case the light excited at columnar crystallites of the phosphor propagates in the elongation direction of the crystallites, the crystallites being acting as light-guides. However, the method for preparation of such screens by melt crystallization is not suitable for many practically-important cases, e.g., for thin (0.1 - 1 micrometer thickness) flat luminescent screen used in field-emission displays.

In the patent [4], a screen with columnar crystals has been proposed where an insert of non-luminous black material adjacent to the columnar crystals was placed. Such an insert is able to increase an image contrast of the columns that are directly adjacent to the insert, while other columns that are not adjacent (are not contacted) to the insert are not able to increase their contrast. In addition, patent [4] does not give a method for preparation of such a screen.

In this invention, a more optimized design of the screen is proposed. In addition, a technology for preparation of the screen is proposed.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A screen with columnar structure is proposed where each column is surrounded by a gap coaxial to the column, the gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium. Outer butt-ends of the columns are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer, thickness of the layer being smaller than height of the columns for at least one order of magnitude. The luminescent layer can be epitaxial in respect to the columns.

A method for preparation of the luminescent screens is proposed in this invention, too. The method consists in vapor deposition of the luminescent material where an intermediate substance, that is other than the luminescent material and that forms a liquid phase at the crystallization temperature, is firstly deposited on the substrate. After that, the luminescent material is deposited on such a substrate. Thickness of the intermediate substance is more than 10 nanometers and smaller than 1 micrometer. The liquid phase is formed at a contact interaction of the intermediate substance with the substrate.

The intermediate substance is formed by more than one chemical elements. At least one of the chemical element is operating as an luminescent activator or co-activator. The activator or co-activator is introduced into the luminescent material by means of ion implantation.

A microrelief of inhomogenities in structure and/or chemical composition is created on the substrate, the inhomogenities being of regular character, in particular, of crystallographically-symmetric character.

The luminescent material is coated by a thin layer of a material that is transparent for electrons. In particular, diamond or diamond-like material serve as the transparent material.

#### A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Fig. 1. A scheme of a standard cathodoluminescent screen that is formed by a film of approximately isometric crystalline grain.

Fig. 2. A scheme of a cathodoluminescent screen formed by a film, that consists of columns approximately perpendicular to substrate.

Fig. 3. A scheme of propagation of light beams in the film shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4. A SEM micrograph of a cleavage cross-section of a continuous film consisting of the columns.

Fig. 5. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen with columnar structure that is bombarded by electrons. The shaded upper parts of the columns show level to which the electrons penetrate and where the light is excited.

Fig. 6. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen. The upper butt-ends of the screen are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer.

Fig. 7. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen formed of columns with gaps between them.

Fig. 8. A SEM micrograph of the film that consists of columns with gaps between them (top view). The mosaic structure of the screen is seen.

Fig. 9. A scheme of the cathodoluminescent screen shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The gaps are filled with an electroconductive non-emitting medium.

#### ~~BEST VERSION FOR THE REALIZATION OF THE INVENTION~~

The cathodoluminescent screen with columnar structure, as it was proposed at the prior art, is illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3.

The cathodoluminescent screen, as it is proposed here, is illustrated in Figs. 4 to 9.

Typical height of the columns, as it is shown in Fig. 4, is about 5 micrometers. Typical height-to-diameter ratio of the columns ranges from 1:1 to 100:1.

An accelerated electron beam from a flat cathode, as it is usually considered in field-emission displays, is incident on the screen and penetrates into a surface layer (Fig. 5). At typical acceleration voltages of the field-emission displays (for example, 1 to 3 kV) the penetration thickness is about 100 nanometers (shown schematically in Fig. 5 as a shadowed layer). Accordingly, it is proposed to implement the screen as a columnar structure coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer (shown in Fig. 6).

The columns are surrounded by gaps ("trenches") coaxial to the columns. An elongated cross-section scheme of the columnar structure is shown in Fig. 7. A corresponding scanning electron micrograph of the screen (top-view) is shown in Fig. 8. As is seen, the columns are

4  
17 January 2000 (17.01.2000)

surrounded by gaps ("trenches"). The gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium has the coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more than 20%. A scheme of the filled screen is shown in Fig. 9. The filling ensures a conductivity of the screen and, in such a way, excludes charging phenomena when the luminescent screen is working in a cathodoluminescent mode.

These screens are featured by some advantages, especially in respect to low-voltage field-emission displays.

1. By a high light and energetic output that is caused by its design. Owing to the total internal reflection from the walls of the columns, a light-guide effect takes place: the light propagates preferentially along the columns, do not passing beyond columns and do not passing into neighbour columns.

2. By a low light scattering during the light propagation along the columns. This determines a high resolution of the design. It is equal to the number of the light-emitting components per a length unit.

3. By a high adhesion to the transparent substrate, to which the columns are fixed by their butt-ends, i.e., the light-emitting components contact to the substrate by a large area. This is especially important for diode-type field-emission displays where large gradients of the electric field are able to break screen particles off the substrate.

The advantages of the cathodoluminescent screens having the columnar structure are realized here by a proposed technology for their production. The technology is based on chemical or physical vapor deposition, a participation of a liquid phase in the deposition process being of principal importance. An effectiveness of the technology is illustrated in Fig. 4 where the columnar structure of the luminescent material cadmium sulphide is shown.

It is to underline principal idea of the proposed design of the cathodoluminscent screen: the propagation direction of light in each columnar component is paraxial (parallel) to the direction of the primary electron beam, that excites the light (see Fig. 3), whereas in the known (standard) screens, formed by superposition of approximately-isometric grains, the light excited by the cathodoluminescence can propagate not only paraxially with the electron beam but also perpendicularly to it, or in any arbitrary direction in respect to the electron beam (see Fig. 1).

As the design of the columnar screen was realized and used in concrete electron devices, some not-evident its advantages were found.

(a) Luminescence brightness of different grains (columns in this case) becomes more uniform. In the standard cathodoluminescent screens, the brightness of various grains differs significantly (up to 50% at distances 25-30 micrometers) due to differences in sizes of emitting grains; this deteriorates transfer and fixation of qualitative images.

(b) Electrical and heat power dissipation by the columnar phosphors increases significantly (5 to 10 times) in comparison with the standard cathodoluminescent screens.

IPEA/RU

AMENDED SHEET

I7 January 2000 (I7.01.20)

(c) The "burning out" of the columnar screens at an unexpected switching off the electron beam scanning is practically eliminated. In the standard cathodoluminescent screens the power sufficient for irreversible burning out of the screens is usually 0.1 W/element (here the element is an image element, i.e., a pixel), whereas preliminary testings of the proposed columnar screen indicate to increase of the parameter up to 1 W/element (here the element is a column).

(d) The background image contrast at an illumination with intensive light sources (sun, electric lamp, etc) is increased. Standard cathodoluminescent screens have the contrast value  $k = b_{image}/b < 5$ , where  $b$  is the brightness of background,  $b_{image}$  is the brightness of the pixel. Testings of the screens based on the proposed columnar phosphors show the values  $k > 10$  to 20.

A significant electric charge accumulated by standard screens is not completely removed even by metallic (for example, aluminium) coatings 0.1 – 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in thickness that are usually formed on the surface of the standard cathodoluminescent screens. This manifests itself in numerous discharges that disturb a stable work of electron devices. The columns are surrounded by gaps coaxial to the columns (see Figs. 7 to 9). The remainder of the substrate area and all other volume of the screen are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium that has the coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more than 20 %.

It is to note that the above-mentioned advantages of the columnar screens manifest theyself both in experimental (10x10 mm) and consumer (25x25 or 75x75 mm) sizes of the screens. In other words, the unique parameters of the proposed structure do not depend on the sizes.

Changes of cross-sectional sizes of the light-emitting elements have been studied in respect to characteristics of the screens in general. At the cross-sectional size of the light-emitting elements about 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and the pitch distance about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  a light-emitting structure contained more than  $2.5 \cdot 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-2}$  light-emitting elements has been prepared. The parameters are superior in resolution respectively to all known screens. It has been also found that the columnar structures with pitches 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , at a total number of the columns  $2.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , can have important applications as screens of electron-beam devices and of transducers.

The procedure for filling of the gaps around the columns with the electroconductive non-light-emitting medium consists in a dipping of the columnar structure into a melt of suitable oxides and/or sulphides. Another approach consists in impregnation of columnar structures in low-melting-point compounds. As such, not only oxides like  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  (melting point 450°C),  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  (melting point 670°C),  $\text{CdO}$  (826°C),  $\text{PbO}_2$  (290°C),  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  (817°C), but also sulphides  $\text{SnS}$  (882°C),  $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$  (550°C) were used. In addition, metallic eutectics like  $\text{Cd-Bi-Pb-Sn}$  (melting point 65°C) and  $\text{Pb-Sn}$  were tested, too. All the mentioned compositions absorb the light in the spectral subrange 420 to 760 nanometers, therefore it is possible, in the mosaic columnar

**IPEA/RU**

structure, to increase significantly the contrast value owing to an increased absorption of the side emission of the columns and of an external light passing through the transparent substrate.

It was studied an influence of the electroconductive medium on the luminescent properties of the screen formed by the mosaic columnar structure. In the case of the filling of the gaps between the columns by the eutectic metallic phase Cd-Bi-Pb-Sn, the resistivity of the filling phase was 1 to 20 Ohm.cm at the value of the optical absorption  $> 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . At the ratio of the substrate area, coated by the columns, to the area of the filling medium 5:1, the coefficient of light reflection from the front surface of the screen is 20%, while a similar columnar structure, that was not filled by the electroconductive medium, reflects 45 to 60% of incident light.

Relationships between the height of the columns and the height level of the light-absorbing phase were not studied. In some preliminary experiments, the relationship was 2:1. Even such a value provided run-off the electron current densities 1 to 10 A/cm<sup>2</sup>.

The columnar elements of the mosaic screen can have an additional coating by metallic (Al or Ag) mirror transparent for electron beams with energies  $> 5 \text{ keV}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G.W.Berkstresser and C.D.Brangle, Cathode ray tube with single crystal targets, European Patent Application 232586, Cl. H01 J 29/26 (1987).
2. V.Duchenois, M.Fouassier, and H.Baudry, Ecran cathodoluminescent incruste a cavites restaurées et tube de visualisation utilisant un tel ecran, European Patent Application 170310, Cl. H01 J 29/24 (1988).
3. B. Cockayne, Cathode ray tube phosphor layers, European Patent Application 062993, Cl. H01 J 29/20 (1982).
4. M. Kakuki, Fluorescent screen of electron tube, Japanese Patent 55088245, Cl. H01 J 29/20 (1980).

January 2000 (I7.01.2000)

CLAIMSWHAT'S CLAIMED IS

1. A cathodoluminescent mosaic screen on a light-transparent substrate that (screen) contains light-emitting, light-guiding, dielectric, and electroconductive light-absorbing components, the light-emitting components being implemented as columnar crystals, **wherein** each column is surrounded by a gap coaxial to the column, all the gaps are filled by an electroconductive non-light-emitting medium that has a coefficient of light absorption in respect to the emitting light more 20%.
2. The screen according to the claim 1 **wherein** outer butt-ends of the columns are coated by a light-emitting luminescent layer whose thickness is smaller than height of the columns for at least an order of magnitude.
3. The screen according to the claim 2 **wherein** the luminescent layer is epitaxial in respect to the columns.
4. A method for preparation of luminescent screens consisting of single-crystalline columns on substrates by vapor deposition of luminescent material **wherein** an intermediate substance forming a liquid phase at the crystallization temperature, other than the luminescent material, is firstly deposited on the substrate and, then, the luminescent material is deposited on such a substrate.
5. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** the thickness of the intermediate substance is more than 10 nanometers and smaller than 1 micrometer.
6. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** the liquid phase is formed at a contact interaction of the intermediate substance with the substrate.
7. The method according to any of claims 4 or 5 **wherein** the intermediate substance is formed by more than one chemical elements.
8. The method according to the claim 7 **wherein** at least one of the chemical element is operating as a luminescent activator or co-activator.
9. The method according to the claim 4 **wherein** a microrelief of inhomogenities in structure and/or in chemical composition is created on the substrate.
10. The method according to the claim 9 **wherein** the inhomogenities are of a regular character.
11. The method according to the claim 10 **wherein** the inhomogenities have crystallographically-symmetric character.
12. The method according to the claim of any of the claims 4 or 8 **wherein** the activator or co-activator is introduced into the luminescent material by means of ion implantation.

13. The method according to the claim 11 wherein the luminescent material is coated by a thin layer of a material transparent for passing through it of electrons.

14. The method according to the claim 13 wherein diamond or diamond-like material serve as the transparent material.

A DD  
a 2

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>5G-98</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. <b>PCT/RU 98/ 00347</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26/10/1998</b>	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) <b>27/10/1997</b>
Applicant <b>GIVARGIZOV, Evgeny Invievich et al.</b>		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

## 1. Basis of the report

- a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).
- b. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing :
  - contained in the international application in written form.
  - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
  - furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
  - furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
  - the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
  - the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished
- 2.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).
- 3.  Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).

## 4. With regard to the title,

- the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
- the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

## 5. With regard to the abstract,

- the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
- the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No.

- as suggested by the applicant.
- because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.
- because this figure better characterizes the invention.

2 None of the figures.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 H01J29/18 H01J9/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H01J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 004, no. 133 (E-026), 18 September 1980 & JP 55 088249 A (TOSHIBA CORP), 3 July 1980 see abstract ---	1, 2
A	US 3 535 138 A (WANMAKER WILLEM LAMBERTUS ET AL) 20 October 1970 see claim 1 ---	5
A	WO 97 19460 A (CANDESCENT TECH CORP) 29 May 1997 see claim 1 ---	1
A	US 5 378 962 A (GRAY HENRY F ET AL) 3 January 1995 see claims 1-5 ---	1
	-/-	

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

22 March 1999

29/03/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Van den Bulcke, E

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 94 18694 A (SILICON VIDEO CORP) 18 August 1994 see claim 46 ----	1
A	WO 95 26037 A (FED CORP) 28 September 1995 see claim 10 ----	14,15
A	US 4 556 620 A (THOMPSON ROBERT P) 3 December 1985 see claim 1 ----	5
A	US 4 239 791 A (SONODA TOMIYA ET AL) 16 December 1980 see claim 1 ----	1
A	EP 0 481 465 A (TOKYO SHIBAURA ELECTRIC CO) 22 April 1992 see claims 1-5 ----	1,5
A	US 5 445 846 A (YOSHIDA ATSUYA) 29 August 1995 see claims 1-13 -----	5

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 3535138	A	20-10-1970	NL	6610613 A	29-01-1968
			BE	701887 A	26-01-1968
			CH	493090 A	30-06-1970
			DE	1596928 A	01-04-1971
			ES	343411 A	01-09-1968
			FR	1532927 A	28-11-1968
			GB	1181539 A	18-02-1970
-----					
WO 9719460	A	29-05-1997	EP	0862785 A	09-09-1998
-----					
US 5378962	A	03-01-1995	NONE		
-----					
WO 9418694	A	18-08-1994	US	5589731 A	31-12-1996
			US	5477105 A	19-12-1995
			AU	6163494 A	29-08-1994
			EP	0683920 A	29-11-1995
			JP	8508846 T	17-09-1995
			US	5532548 A	02-07-1996
			US	5742117 A	21-04-1998
			US	5675212 A	07-10-1997
			US	5667418 A	16-09-1997
			US	5576596 A	19-11-1996
			US	5725787 A	10-03-1998
			US	5614781 A	25-03-1997
			US	5746635 A	05-05-1998
			US	5865930 A	02-02-1999
-----					
WO 9526037	A	28-09-1995	US	5583393 A	10-12-1996
			EP	0758485 A	19-02-1997
			JP	9512659 T	16-12-1997
-----					
US 4556620	A	03-12-1985	US	4556820 A	03-12-1985
			CA	1214817 A	02-12-1986
			JP	60158532 A	19-08-1985
-----					
US 4239791	A	16-12-1980	JP	54078074 A	21-06-1979
			DE	2852440 A	07-06-1979
-----					
EP 0481465	A	22-04-1992	JP	4154030 A	27-05-1992
			DE	69107771 D	06-04-1995
			DE	69107771 T	05-10-1995
			US	5166512 A	24-11-1992
-----					
US 5445846	A	29-08-1995	JP	5174747 A	13-07-1993
			DE	69212231 D	22-08-1996
			DE	69212231 T	05-12-1996
			EP	0514921 A	25-11-1993
			US	5338926 A	16-08-1994
-----					

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

**NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION**  
 (PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

United States Patent and Trademark  
 Office  
 (Box PCT)  
 Crystal Plaza 2  
 Washington, DC 20231  
**ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE**

in its capacity as elected Office

<b>Date of mailing (day/month/year)</b> 02 August 1999 (02.08.99)
--

<b>International application No.</b> PCT/RU98/00347	<b>Applicant's or agent's file reference</b> 5G-98
<b>International filing date (day/month/year)</b> 26 October 1998 (26.10.98)	<b>Priority date (day/month/year)</b> 27 October 1997 (27.10.97)

<b>Applicant</b> <b>GIVARGIZOV, Evgeny Invieovich et al</b>
--

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:

24 May 1999 (24.05.99)

in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

\_\_\_\_\_

2. The election  was

was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer  Beatrix Morariu  Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	---

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING  
DOCUMENT TRANSMITTED

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
 United States Patent and Trademark  
 Office  
 Box PCT  
 Washington, D.C.20231  
 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

in its capacity as designated Office

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 August 1999 (13.08.99)	
International application No. PCT/RU98/00347	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 October 1998 (26.10.98)
<b>Applicant</b> GIVARGIZOV, Evgeny Invievich et al	

The International Bureau transmits herewith the following documents and number thereof:

       cop(ies) of priority document(s) (Rule 17.2(a))

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer  Beatrix Morariu  Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	---

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

**NOTIFICATION CONCERNING  
SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL  
OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

GIVARGIZOV, Evgeny Invievich  
ul. Obrucheva, 20-12  
Moscow, 117421  
FÉDÉRATION DE RUSSIE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>06 August 1999 (06.08.99)</b>	
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>5G-98</b>	<b>IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION</b>
International application No. <b>PCT/RU98/00347</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26 October 1998 (26.10.98)</b>
International publication date (day/month/year) <b>06 May 1999 (06.05.99)</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>27 October 1997 (27.10.97)</b>
Applicant <b>GIVARGIZOV, Evgeny Invievich et al</b>	

1. The applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt (except where the letters "NR" appear in the right-hand column) by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to the earlier application(s) indicated below. Unless otherwise indicated by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, or by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
2. This updates and replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents.
3. An asterisk(\*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b). In such a case, **the attention of the applicant is directed** to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
4. The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which was not received by the International Bureau or which the applicant did not request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau, as provided by Rule 17.1(a) or (b), respectively. In such a case, **the attention of the applicant is directed** to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

<u>Priority date</u>	<u>Priority application No.</u>	<u>Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office</u>	<u>Date of receipt of priority document</u>
27 Octo 1997 (27.10.97)	97117737	RU	14 July 1999 (14.07.99)
31 Dece 1997 (31.12.97)	97122024	RU	14 July 1999 (14.07.99)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer  Beatrix Morariu  Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38
--	--

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 H01J29/18 H01J9/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H01J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 004, no. 133 (E-026), 18 September 1980 & JP 55 088249 A (TOSHIBA CORP), 3 July 1980 see abstract ---	1, 2
A	US 3 535 138 A (WANMAKER WILLEM LAMBERTUS ET AL) 20 October 1970 see claim 1 ---	5
A	WO 97 19460 A (CANDESCENT TECH CORP) 29 May 1997 see claim 1 ---	1
A	US 5 378 962 A (GRAY HENRY F ET AL) 3 January 1995 see claims 1-5 ---	1
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

22 March 1999

29/03/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Van den Bulcke, E

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

National Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 94 18694 A (SILICON VIDEO CORP) 18 August 1994 see claim 46 ---	1
"	A WO 95 26037 A (FED CORP) 28 September 1995 see claim 10 ---	14,15
"	A US 4 556 620 A (THOMPSON ROBERT P) 3 December 1985 see claim 1 ---	5
A	US 4 239 791 A (SONODA TOMIYA ET AL) 16 December 1980 see claim 1 ---	1
A	EP 0 481 465 A (TOKYO SHIBAURA ELECTRIC CO) 22 April 1992 see claims 1-5 ---	1,5
A	US 5 445 846 A (YOSHIDA ATSUYA) 29 August 1995 see claims 1-13 -----	5

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

.ational Application No

PCT/RU 98/00347

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication date
US 3535138	A 20-10-1970	NL BE CH DE ES FR GB	6610613 A 701887 A 493090 A 1596928 A 343411 A 1532927 A 1181539 A		29-01-1968 26-01-1968 30-06-1970 01-04-1971 01-09-1968 28-11-1968 18-02-1970
WO 9719460	A 29-05-1997	EP	0862785 A		09-09-1998
US 5378962	A 03-01-1995	NONE			
WO 9418694	A 18-08-1994	US US AU EP JP US US US US US US US US US US US US US	5589731 A 5477105 A 6163494 A 0683920 A 8508846 T 5532548 A 5742117 A 5675212 A 5667418 A 5576596 A 5725787 A 5614781 A 5746635 A 5865930 A		31-12-1996 19-12-1995 29-08-1994 29-11-1995 17-09-1995 02-07-1996 21-04-1998 07-10-1997 16-09-1997 19-11-1996 10-03-1998 25-03-1997 05-05-1998 02-02-1999
WO 9526037	A 28-09-1995	US EP JP	5583393 A 0758485 A 9512659 T		10-12-1996 19-02-1997 16-12-1997
US 4556620	A 03-12-1985	US CA JP	4556820 A 1214817 A 60158532 A		03-12-1985 02-12-1986 19-08-1985
US 4239791	A 16-12-1980	JP DE	54078074 A 2852440 A		21-06-1979 07-06-1979
EP 0481465	A 22-04-1992	JP DE DE US	4154030 A 69107771 D 69107771 T 5166512 A		27-05-1992 06-04-1995 05-10-1995 24-11-1992
US 5445846	A 29-08-1995	JP DE DE EP US	5174747 A 69212231 D 69212231 T 0514921 A 5338926 A		13-07-1993 22-08-1996 05-12-1996 25-11-1993 16-08-1994